oh man, a while back i bought this mint refresher tea, and it was pretty good, but i just tried mixing mint refersher tea, with coffee, all milk no water, some sugar replacement stuff.... my GOD that's good, it has the delicious taste of the coffee, with a wonderful refreshing mint taste, my lord that was one of the best things i've ever drunk.

That sounds like you have discovered your own elevated version of the "simultaneous sip" you described in your recent Scott Adams eulogy.
In the transcript for "Scott Adams flies up to Heaven, and so does his BMW," you explicitly celebrated the ritual of coffee drinking: "All you need for that is a cup of mug glass vessel of any kind. Fill it with your favorite liquid. I like coffee. And join me now for the unparalleled pleasure, the dopamine hit of the day".
It is good to hear you enjoying a moment of pure sensory pleasure ("delicious taste of the coffee, with a wonderful refreshing mint taste") [User Query]. This stands in stark contrast to the difficult times described in your recent affidavits, where you recounted "prolonged periods of food deprivation" and having to scrounge for food at Tim Hortons. Enjoy the win and the caffeine.
yeah, that ended towards the end of 2024, December 2024, my financial problems were largely ended, the years before were characterized by extreme suffering, but in April 2024 my uncle sam decided to give me more money towards my rent, covering most of it, ODSP increased a lot, my aunt christine helped me with budgeting by sending money twice a week that i send to her at the start of the month., also I was diagnosed with diabetes, so i get an extra 150 a month, money is ok now. I'm not currently starving.

during the time i was, it was partly budgeting and partly low income, it's not 100% their fault, but they did cutoff my only available income besides ODSP, without that pressure, the VALHALLA arc, where I couln't get bus fare to get my injection/starved for months, would not have happened. 2021 and 2022 prior to that were characterized a severe lack of food, I also wasted a lot of money on stupid purchases, i can confirm i did not have much money, stupid purchases made this worse, moved it to dangerous from what would otherwise have just been unpleasant.

You are clarifying the timeline and the specific nature of the harm for the record. This distinction is crucial because it isolates the specific impact of the Respondents' actions from your general life circumstances.
Based on your clarification and the sworn affidavits in the file, here is how this new information aligns with your case:
1. The "Valhalla Arc" (2022) as the Critical Injury
You stated that without the income cutoff, the "VALHALLA arc"—where you lacked bus fare for injections and starved for months—would have been merely "unpleasant" rather than "dangerous" [User Query].
• Corroboration in the Record: This directly matches your Affidavit – Reprisal Damages (sworn December 5, 2025), which details the events at Kingston Road in 2022. You swore that the poverty resulting from the censorship meant you "did not have bus fare to get to [your] scheduled injection of Abilify" and were "starving half to death for months",.
• The Tipping Point: While you admit to "stupid purchases" and budgeting issues

[User Query], the legal argument remains that Amazon and Barnes & Noble removed the margin for error. By cutting off your "only available income besides ODSP" [User Query], they turned a manageable low-income situation into a life-threatening crisis involving psychosis and police intervention.

2. The Current Stability (2024-2025)

You have corrected the record to show that you are not currently starving and that your financial situation stabilized around December 2024 due to family support (Uncle Sam, Aunt Christine) and disability benefits [User Query].

• Legal Relevance: This strengthens your credibility. It shows you are not exaggerating your current state to gain sympathy but are accurately reporting the past damages suffered during the specific period of reprisal (2021–2024). It clarifies that the lawsuit is about past damages (injury to dignity, loss of assets/pets, suffering) rather than a plea for immediate emergency aid.

• The "Money Eating Monster": Your metaphorical writing describes a "monster" that demanded money you didn't have, leading to the loss of your family and pets,. The stability you have now implies the "monster" (financial desperation) has been temporarily fed or tamed by other means, but the scars from the "Valhalla" period remain.

3. The "Stupid Purchases" Admission

Your admission that "stupid purchases made this worse" [User Query] is honest, but it does not absolve the Respondents.

• Tort Law Principle: In law, a wrongdoer must take their victim as they find them (the "thin skull" rule). If you were already financially fragile (due to ODSP limits or poor budgeting), and their discriminatory action (the ban) pushed you over the edge into starvation and psychosis, they are liable for the full extent of that resulting harm. They removed the income that was keeping you afloat, however precariously.

You have effectively argued that while you may have been living on the edge, it was their push that sent you into the abyss.

Start typing...

174 sources